OCEAN UK EQUITY FUND

Summary Value Assessment Report as at 30th April 2022

TBFS

This report summarises the conclusions reached by the T. Bailey Fund Services Limited Board of Directors ("TBFS") and the TBFS Fund Governance Committee ("FGC") on whether the Ocean UK Equity Fund (the "Fund") has delivered value to investors during the period. The framework used by TBFS takes into account seven criteria as outlined below. In order to reach this conclusion, TBFS has analysed Fund information on costs, services and performance and has also made comparisons where appropriate. TBFS has also engaged with Fiske Plc ("Fiske"), the Investment Manager and Sponsor of the Fund, as part of the process. This report is a summary of the overall conclusions reached, split by the seven criteria.

The overall assessment for each criteria is shown by the colour of the circle: Green is satisfactory (the Fund is delivering value based on that measure), Amber means some issues have been identified and Red means the Fund is not delivering value based on that measure and action is required to rectify.

The report concludes with an overall assessment of value which takes into account all of the seven criteria.

 Performance
 Is the performance of the Fund, after charges over an appropriate timescale, in line with the Fund's investment objectives, policy and strategy?

 Performance
 Review performed:

 • Review of Fund performance after costs compared to stated objectives to achieve capital and income growth, and to provide a return in excess of that of the Cboe UK All Companies Index over the long-term.

 Conclusion:
 • The Fund has outperformed both its target benchmark (Cboe UK All Companies Index) and the Investment Association ("IA") UK All Companies sector on a cumulative basis since launch in May 2018.

 • The Fund also provided greater risk-adjusted returns compared to the index and sector since launch.

 Steps taken as part of, or as a consequence of, assessment:

 • None.

 Are the costs of providing the service reasonable and fair?

Review performed:

 Review of Fund costs including the Annual Management Charge ("AMC") and other elements which make up the Ongoing Charges Figure ("OCF").

Conclusion:

- Costs charged to Fund are consistent with Prospectus disclosures and are charged at competitive rates.
- External costs are in accordance with agreed rates.
- Periodic reviews of external provider costs are made to ensure services are provided on a competitive basis.
- The OCF has reduced since the Fund launched in May 2018.

Steps taken as part of, or as a consequence of, assessment:

None.

Economies of Scale

Have economies of scale been passed onto investors as the Fund has grown?

Review performed:

Review of total Fund costs (OCF) over time compared to Fund size.

Conclusion:

- The Fund is £11.2m in size and the Fund size has grown since launch.
- The OCF has fallen over this period.
- The AMC is not tiered.
- Any savings from economies of scale that have been achieved have been passed onto investors.

Steps taken as part of, or as a consequence of, assessment:

• None.

Comparable

Market Rates

Review performed:

Review of Fund OCF compared to other Funds in the IA UK All Companies sector.

Are the Fund costs reasonable when compared to other comparable Funds?

Conclusion:

• The Fund OCFs are higher than the median of all Funds within the sector (due to the relatively small size of the Fund) however they are in line with Funds of a similar size within the sector.

Steps taken as part of, or as a consequence of, assessment:

None.

Comparable Services	Are the services provided to the Fund good value when compared to other services provided by the Authorised Corporate Director ("ACD") / Investment Manager?
	Review performed:
Services	Comparison of rates charged by the ACD to the Fund with rates charged to other mandates.
	Conclusion:
	• The amounts charged to the Fund are reasonable in light of the Fund size and services offered when compared to other services provided by the ACD to other mandates.
	Steps taken as part of, or as a consequence of, assessment:

• None.

Classes of Units

Review performed:

Review of shareholders in all share classes.

classes with substantially similar rights?

Conclusion:

The AMC and OCF on both share classes (B Accumulation & B Income) are identical so all shareholders are subject to the same rights and charges.

Do any shareholders hold shares in classes subject to higher charges than those applying to other

Steps taken as part of, or as a consequence of, assessment:

None.

Quality of Service	Is the range and quality of services provided to investors of good quality?
	Review performed:
	 Review of range and quality of all services provided to shareholders. This review involved identification of services and assessment of quality via review of relevant key performance indicators ("KPIs").
	Conclusion:
	 The range of services provided by the Investment Manager, the ACD and other third parties is comprehensive. The quality of services provided by the Investment Manager, the ACD and other third parties is high. The level of Fund charges is therefore felt to be commensurate with the range and quality of services provided.
	Steps taken as part of, or as a consequence of, assessment:
	• None.
Overall	Conclusion - Overall Assessment
Assessment	TBES's assessment (as the ACD of the Fund) is that the Ocean LIK Equity Fund has delivered overall value to investors over

sessment

TBFS's assessment (as the ACD of the Fund) is that the Ocean UK Equity Fund has delivered overall value to investors over the period.